This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official

Action dated 11 August 2004. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official

Action, Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the combination of elements that

form the invention of the subject Patent Application. Additionally, Claim 3 has

been cancelled by this Amendment Claims 4 and 5 have been amended to change

the dependency thereof.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 2 under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Bredacts, U.S. Patent 6,457,891.

However, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claims 3-5 would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

As the Examiner recognized, the Bredacts reference neither discloses nor

suggests a cosmetic container which includes a brush arranged on a top of the

rotating body, as was defined in Claim3 and now incorporated in amended Claim

1.

Therefore, as the reference fails to disclose or suggest the combination of

elements that form the invention of the subject Patent Application, the reference

can neither anticipate nor make obvious the invention of the subject Patent

Page 4 of 5

MR2349-988

Serial Number: 10/775,093

Reply to Office Action dated 11 August 2004

Application, as now defined in amended Claim 1. Thus, it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

> Respectfully submitted, Registration #33,253

David I. Klein

Dated: 4 Nov. 2004

Suite 101 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Ellicott City, MD 21043 (410) 465-6678